

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/058,212	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LAMBERT, ROBERT J.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Kaveh Abrishamkar	<b>Art Unit</b> 2131	

**All Participants:**

(1) Kaveh Abrishamkar.

(2) Brett Slaney (Reg. No. 58,772).

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 7 January 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Possible 101 Rejections*

Claims discussed:

*1,4,6*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner notified the Applicant that the application would be in condition for allowance if certain possible 101 issues were remedied via an Examiner's Amendment. Claims 1 and 4 were directed towards mathematical algorithms and claim 6 was said to be amended to more clearly define the system. The Applicant agreed to the Examiner's amendment.